

Best Practices for mySAP.com

FUNCTIONAL SPECIFICATION INTERFACES			
Section I: Justification			
Area (SAP System components):	FI	Date:	04/25/2006
Requested by:	Teresa Hane	Tel no:	
Title:	STARS Error File		
Short description:	This functionality is required to provide non-live agencies with the information currently received from STARS.		
Program type:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Batch interfaces <input type="checkbox"/> Online interfaces		
Priority:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High/mandatory <input type="checkbox"/> Medium/recommended <input type="checkbox"/> Low/optional		
<u>Interface specification:</u>			
Type of interface:	<input type="checkbox"/> BAPI <input type="checkbox"/> IDOC <input type="checkbox"/> ALE <input type="checkbox"/> Others		
Created with:	<input type="checkbox"/> SAP Standard interface <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Add-on interface		
Interface direction:	<input type="checkbox"/> Inbound <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outbound <input type="checkbox"/> Both		
Frequency:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Daily <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly <input type="checkbox"/> Biweekly <input type="checkbox"/> Others:		
<u>General information:</u>			
Results if no interface is are created:	<input type="checkbox"/> Legal requirements not fulfilled <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lack of essential business information <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of functions compared to legacy system <input type="checkbox"/> Others: Increased manual entry		
Approx. duration of development work:	8 Days		
Is there an alternative in the standard system?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		
Description of alternative:			
Reasons why alternative is not acceptable:	<input type="checkbox"/> Performance problems <input type="checkbox"/> Complexity <input type="checkbox"/> Others:		
Project cost:		Charge cost to:	
Cost approved by:			
Date of project management approval:		Date of steering committee approval:	

Best Practices for mySAP.com

Section II: Detailed Functional Description

Background:

The State of South Carolina expectations for the non-live agency process:

- Minimize impact to non-live SAP agencies
 - Allows non-live agencies to continue to access STARS inquiry systems, functionality and reports
 - Allows non-live agencies to continue to provide files in current format and data to STARS
- Minimize impact on SCEIS resources needed to support non-live agencies
- Minimize development cost of maintaining legacy STARS systems

Requirement:

- Capture the records failing to park, post or pay for non-live agencies:
 - Journal entries (Batch 0)
 - FI payables (Batch 4 and 6)
 - Payroll (Batch 9)
 - Budget transfers (Batch 1)
 - Deposits (Batch 2)
 - Appropriations and cash transfers (Batch 3)
 - Cancel warrants (Batch 6)
- Map to the STARS master data
- Provide to STARS

Best Practices for mySAP.com

Not Applicable

A) Inbound Interfaces (Non-SAP System → SAP System)					
Relevant tables:					
Description of inbound interface:					
Input file 01:					
File name.	(path)				
Layout					
Position	Field name	Type	Length	Decimals	Description
1.	Field 1	C	10	02	
2.	Field 2	N	8		
3.	Field 3	X	15	03	
4.	Field 4	X	99		
5.	Field 5	X	99		
6.	Field 6	X	99		

Best Practices for mySAP.com

B) Outbound interfaces (SAP System → Non-SAP System)					
Relevant tables:	Custom Table including fields from STARS Error file layout and Custom Data Mapping Table				
Description of outbound interfaces:	<p>Records will be accumulated from the various STARS interface processing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Failed journal entries prior to parking Failed FI payables prior to parking Failed journal entries – rejected by workflow Failed FI payables – rejected by workflow Failed journal entries – insufficient cash Failed FI payables – insufficient cash Failed payroll Failed deposits – not approved by STO Failed budget transfers (Budgeting Workbench FMBB) Failed cash and Appropriation transfers Failed Cancel Warrants (FCH8 and FB08) <p>The custom table will include a message area and number. The message area and number will look up the message text and map to the following STARS reason codes:</p> <p>Insufficient Appropriation Balance Receivable doesn't exist in SAP (IDT) – new code Vendor doesn't exist in SAP or unable to match vendor (e.g., same FEIN but different addresses). Insufficient Cash Rejected by CSA Audits (multiple codes)</p> <p>The file will then be mapped to STARS master data using the custom data mapping table from Functional Spec Part I.</p>				
Output file 01:					
File name:	(path)				
Layout					
<i>Position</i>	<i>Fieldname</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Length</i>	<i>Decimals</i>	<i>Description</i>
1.	Field 1	C	10	02	
2.	Field 2	N	8		
3.	Field 3	X	15	03	
4.	Field 4	X	99		
5.	Field 5	X	99		
6.	Field 6	X	99		

Best Practices for mySAP.com

Section III: Functional test			
Program:	ZFO0001	Test date:	
Developer:		Tel no:	
<u>Team member responsible for testing:</u>			
1. Test file(s): (optional)			
2. Is the program in line with the functional specification? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If "Yes", sign the form in the appropriate section below. If necessary, add some comments in the 'General comments' section. If "No", describe the errors in the program here.			
<u>Developer responsible:</u>			
3. Describe the solution(s) :			
4. New completion date:			
<u>Comments after second test</u> (if the program contained errors after first test):			
Date: / /			
<u>General comments:</u>			
<u>Names and signatures:</u>			

Application consultant			

Developer			